





7. S&OP maturity

Analysis and diagnosis phase

Purpose, participants and application

Purpose

- To conduct an analysis and judgement of how mature the company is within four central Sales & Operations Planning (S&OP) areas:
 - 1) Process effectiveness
 - 2) Process efficiency
 - 3) People and organization
 - 4) Information technology
- To determine targets for maturity.
- To start activities to close gaps if needed.

Participants

Project manager and central stakeholders.

Application

Before, during and after S&OP implementation.



Approach

- Project manager and selected stakeholders evaluate and judge the current process by assessing the stage that best fit the current stage.
- If a S&OP process does not exist today, the model can be used to judge where one perceives the company is.
- When the judgment is carried out the results are discussed in the plenum.
- The agreed maturity stage is compared with the objectives for maturity and eventually, gaps can be identified.
- It is important to notice that the maturity stage can vary between the four areas.



Process effectiveness

| Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 5 |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| No formal planning | Loosely formalized | Moderate formalized | Level 2 plus: | Level 3 plus: | Level 4 plus: |
| process | planning process | planning processes | | | |
| | | and meetings are | Very formalized | Internally, there is a | The planning process |
| None planned | Meetings are not | typically regularly | planning process | complete and | is formalized in the |
| evaluations of | planned regularly | planned | | formalized planning | whole supply chain |
| meetings | | | Meetings are planned | process | |
| | Not all stock keeping | Most stock keeping | regularly | | Meetings are event |
| None considerations | units and product | units and product | | Meetings are held | driven |
| of capacity | families are | families are considers | All stock keeping units | regularly and are | |
| | considered in the | in the planning | and product families | event driven | Campaigns and price |
| No planned campaigns | planning process | process | are considers in the | | adjustments, |
| or price changes | | | planning process | Campaigns and price | capacities, risk |
| | Campaigns and price | Campaigns and price | | adjustments, | management, new |
| No risk management | adjustments, | adjustments, | Campaigns and price | capacities, risk | products and life |
| | capacities, risk | capacities, risk | adjustments, | management, new | cycles are sufficiently |
| No product lifecycle | management, new | management, new | capacities, risk | products and life | considered and |
| management or plans | products and life | products and life | management, new | cycles are sufficiently | planned both |
| about new products | cycles are planned but | cycles are considered | products and life | considered and | internally and |
| | part of the S&OP | and planned but not | cycles are sufficiently | planned internally but | externally |
| No efforts to balance | process | sufficiently | considered and | not externally | |
| supply and demand | | | planned | | All information is |
| | Attempts to create a | Consensus between | | Demand and supply | shared both internally |
| | common consensus | demand plan and | Demand and supply | generate together | and externally to |
| | based supply and | supply plan | (without finance) | with finance an | improve traceability in |
| | demand plan | | make a common and | aligned S&OP plan | the supply chain |
| | | | aligned set of plan | | |

Source: Wagner, S.M., Ullrich, K.K.R. & Transchel, S. (2014), "The game plan for aligning the organization", Business Horizons, Vol. 57, pp. 189–201.

Process efficiency

| Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 5 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| All planning is carried out manually | Much redundant preparation of | Partly centralized data storage that improve | Level 2 plus: | Level 3 plus: | Level 4 plus: |
| | information due to | the preparation of | Relevant information | People only receive | External partners are |
| Information is only | decentral storage of | information | is shared and made | the information that | integrated through |
| partly available | data | | ready automatically | they need | systems (e.g. EDI) to |
| | | Regularly re-planning | | | avoid redundant data |
| Re-planning is often | Meeting attendances | is necessary | Meetings are | Meetings are event | entrance |
| necessary | have not authority to | | formalized and held | driven and focused on | |
| | make decisions | Meeting attendances | (e.g. compulsory | exceptions | S&OP meetings are |
| None planning | | are typically | attendance) | | event driven and |
| meetings | Poor alignment of | authorized to make | | Re-planning takes | virtual to avoid |
| | plans make regularity | decisions | Re-planning appears | place very seldom | unnecessary travel |
| None alignment | re-planning | | rarely | | activity |
| meetings | unavoidable | Basic KPIs are defined | | Planning fits perfectly | |
| | | and are applied | The planning efforts | to the requirements of | Supply chain partners |
| No measures of | Basic KPIs are defined | regularly | fits partly the | the organization | attend in alignment |
| planning effectiveness | but are only sporadic | | organizational | | processes to avoid |
| and efficiency | applied | Most KPIs are | requirement | Total alignment of KPIs | changes e.g. due to |
| | | harmonized across | | across departments, | suppliers capacity |
| None defined KPI's to | KPIs are not aligned | departments and | Structured | business strategies | constraints |
| measure planning | between the | partly with the bonus | mechanisms for | and the bonus | |
| performance | departments, the | str4ucture | evaluation of S&OP | structure | KPI's are aligned with |
| | business strategies | | performance | | net working capital |
| No attempt to track | and the bonus | Some attempts to | | Internal benchmark of | |
| performance | structure | track performance | Regularly reporting | S&OP takes place | Internally and |
| | | | and tracking of | regularly | externally S&OP |
| | | | performance | | benchmark is carried |
| | | | | | out regularly |

Source: Wagner, S.M., Ullrich, K.K.R. & Transchel, S. (2014), "The game plan for aligning the organization", *Business Horizons*, Vol. 57, pp. 189–201.

People and organization

| Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 5 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| No division of roles | Inadequate planning | Roles and | Level 2 plus: | Level 3 plus: | Level 4 plus: |
| and responsibilities | (no clear description | responsibilities are | | | |
| regarding planning of | of roles and the | clearly defined but not | New planning | The planning | New organization |
| tasks and activities | organization is not | sufficient | organization with | organization is full | structure with |
| | aligned with the | implemented | dedicated S&OP | aligned with business | dedicated S&OP |
| No planning | business) | | process ownership | | process owner that |
| organization | | No dedicated S&OP | | The planning is agile | coordinate planning |
| | Employees are not | process owner | Responsibilities is | and makes it possible | activities for the |
| Employees do not | accountable for their | | clearly described in | it react fast on | whole supply chain |
| understand the | plans and | Employees are partly | job descriptions; | unforeseen changes | |
| necessity and | performance | accountable for their | employees know | | Employees and top |
| requirements for a | | plans and | them and are working | Sufficient knowledge | management are very |
| sufficient S&OP | Few skills, little | performance | according to them | to conduct further | committed and strive |
| process | willingness to learn | | | planning related | towards continuous |
| | S&OP from employees | Insufficient knowledge | Sufficient knowledge | activities e.g. risk | improvements |
| Insufficient planning | | to conduct advanced | to conduct advanced | management | |
| know-how | Insufficient | S&OP activities | S&OP activities | | Top management in |
| | commitment and | | | Very high | all partner companies |
| No top management | support from top | Moderate | High commitment and | commitment and | support and |
| commitment | management | commitment and | support from top | support from top | participate in S&OP |
| | | support from top | management | management | |
| | | management | | | |

Source: Wagner, S.M., Ullrich, K.K.R. & Transchel, S. (2014), "The game plan for aligning the organization", Business Horizons, Vol. 57, pp. 189–201.

Information technology

| Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 5 |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| No planning system | Isolated demand and supply planning | Demand planning software and | Level 2 plus: | Level 3 plus: | Level 4 plus: |
| Various spreadsheets | systems with a very | multifacility | Multi-facility APS | Systems continuously | Software supports |
| exists and are applied | limited scope of functionalities | production planning systems with | system in place | keep track of plans and trigger | CPFR, TPM and other visibility tools to |
| Master data is not | Implemented | advanced | S&OP workbench and | automatically | integrate supply chain |
| (accurately) defined | | functionalities | software that provides | alerts in case of | partners in IT |
| | No integration of | | workflow support | unexpected deviations | infrastructure |
| No harmonization of | demand and | Information from | | | |
| master data | operations | other systems need to | All planning modules | Software suggests | IT systems are |
| throughout the | planning software | be manually entered | and tools are linked | resolution alternatives | completely aligned |
| organization | Discritica de la constanción | or uploaded (no | via interfaces to the | if required | throughout the |
| | Planning systems do | interfaces) | underlying ERP- | Cinculton court / nool | supply chain |
| | not have access to all relevant planning data | Planning systems have | system and have access to all planning | Simultaneous/real- time feasibility | All relevant data |
| | relevant planning data | access to most | data | analyses supported | (including |
| | Inconsistent master | relevant planning data | uata | analyses supported | capacities of third- |
| | data definitions | relevant planning data | Plan adjustments are | One 'single truly | party manufacturers, |
| | | Most master data | automatically | integrated system' in | etc.) is available |
| | Master data not | consistently defined | incorporated in all | place | , |
| | harmonized | but not entirely | modules | · | Master data |
| | throughout | harmonized | | Master data | consistently defined |
| | the organization | throughout the | Master data | proactively | and harmonized |
| | | organization | consistently defined | managed internally | throughout the supply |
| | | | and harmonized | but not externally | chain |
| | | | throughout the | | |
| | | | organization | | |

Source: Wagner, S.M., Ullrich, K.K.R. & Transchel, S. (2014), "The game plan for aligning the organization", *Business Horizons*, Vol. 57, pp. 189–201.

Notes: APS = Advanced Planning Systems. CPFR = Collaborative, Planning, Forecasting & Replenishment.